



Trinh, L., Groh, R., Zucco, G., & Weaver, P. M. (2019). *A strain-displacement variational formulation for laminated composite beams based on the modified couple stress theory*. Poster session presented at Computational modeling of Complex Materials across the Scales CMCS 2019.

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Luan C. Trinh^(a)

Rainer M.J. Groh^(b), Giovanni Zucco^(a), Paul M. Weaver^(a, b)

^(a) Bernal Institute, University of Limerick, Ireland

^(b) Bristol Composites Institute (ACCIS), University of Bristol, UK

1. Introduction

Mixed energy methods, *e.g.* Hellinger-Reissner (HR) method, can include stresses and displacements as unknowns in the formulation and can be made to satisfy equilibrium conditions as well as minimise total energy. The HR method is found accurately capturing the full 3D stress field of general beams and plates [1].



The differential quadrature method (DQM) can be viewed as a more accurate, computationally efficient version of the finite difference method. It solves differential equations directly and therefore solves pointwise equilibrium expressions and can be more accurate than conventional FE methods.

The present study aims to:

- develop strain-displacement (SD) mixed energy method for stress analysis of laminated beams.
- include couple-stress in the SD formulation to accurately predict 3D stresses of highly heterogeneous laminates.
- implement DQM method to solve the governing equations subject to different boundary conditions.

2. Mathematical formulation

Consider a laminated beam with the length and the rectangular cross-section being L and $b \times t$. The beam is constituted of N layers and fibre angle (in stacking sequence) $\theta^{(k)}$ in k^{th} layer is referred to x -axis. Equivalent single layer (ESL) theory is used.



Third-order zigzag displacement profile [1]:

$$u_x^{(k)}(x, z) = u_0 + z\theta + z^2\zeta + z^3\xi + \phi^{(k)}(z)\psi$$

$$u_z(x) = w_0$$

Refined zigzag function $\phi^{(k)}(z)$ [2]:


$$\phi^{(1)}(z) = \left(z + \frac{t}{2}\right) \left(\frac{G}{G_{xz}^{(1)}} - 1\right)$$

$$\phi^{(k)}(z) = \left(z + \frac{t}{2}\right) \left(\frac{G}{G_{xz}^{(k)}} - 1\right) + \sum_{j=2}^k t^{(j-1)} \left(\frac{G}{G_{xz}^{(j-1)}} - \frac{G}{G_{xz}^{(k)}}\right)$$

Equilibrium conditions in the MCST [3]:

$$\sigma_{x,x}^{(k)} + \tau_{xz,z}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_{xy,xz}^{(k)} + m_{zy,zz}^{(k)} \right) = 0$$

$$\tau_{xz,x}^{(k)} + \sigma_{z,z}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(m_{xy,xx}^{(k)} + m_{zy,xz}^{(k)} \right) = 0$$




3. Results


Material properties and Laminate configuration:

Mat.	$\frac{E_1}{G_{12}^{(h)}}$	$\frac{E_2}{G_{12}^{(h)}}$	$\frac{E_3}{G_{12}^{(h)}}$	$\frac{G_{12}}{G_{12}^{(h)}}$	$\frac{G_{13}}{G_{12}^{(h)}}$	$\frac{G_{23}}{G_{12}^{(h)}}$
h	250	250	2500	1	875	1750
p	25×10^6	1×10^6	1×10^6	5×10^5	5×10^5	2×10^5
m	32.57×10^6	1×10^6	10×10^6	6.5×10^5	8.21×10^6	3.28×10^6
pvc	25×10^4	25×10^4	25×10^4	9.62×10^4	9.62×10^4	9.62×10^4
Lam.	Layer thickness ratio		Layer materials		Stacking sequence	
A	[0.1 ₂ /0.2 ₃ /0.1 ₂]		[p ₂ /pvc/h/pvc/p ₂]		[90/0 ₃ /90]	
B	[0.1/0.3/0.35/0.25]		[p ₂ /m/p]		[0/90/0 ₂]	
1	[0.25 ₄]		[p ₄]		[0/90/0/90]	
2	[(1/8) ₂ /0.5/(1/8) ₂]		[p ₂ /pvc/p ₂]		[0/90/0 ₂ /90]	

Example 1: Simply supported beam under sinusoidal load, the span-to-thickness ratio $L/t=8$. Laminates A (symmetric) and B (non-symmetric).



Example 2: Clamped beam under uniformly distributed load, the span-to-thickness ratio $L/t=10$. Laminates 1 (non-symmetric) and Laminate 2 (sandwich).



4. Conclusions

- Both the SD and SD MCS models can accurately capture the flexural behaviour of various simply-supported beams including symmetric and antisymmetric laminates, as well as thick-soft core sandwich beams.
- The SD MCS model including the couple stress can predict efficiently localised stress near the clamped boundary, which is challenging for ESL models. (31x8 = 248 DOFs in SD MCS vs. 96,000 C3D8R brick elements in 3D FEA.)
- Changing the length scale parameter, *i.e.* $\tilde{Q}_{44}^{(k)}$, in couple stress can result in better optimisation of system total energy.

References

- [1] Groh RMJ, Weaver PM. International Journal of Solids and Structures. 2015;59:147-70.
- [2] Tessler A, Sciuva MD, Gherlone M. NASA/TP-2007-2150862007.
- [3] Kwon Y-R, Lee B-C. Computational Mechanics. 2016;59(1):117-28.